Robust Acoustic Echo Cancellation in the Short-Time Fourier Transform Domain Using Adaptive Crossband Filters

Jason Wung, Daniele Giacobello, and Joshua Atkins Beats Electronics, LLC

Motivation

- Acoustic echo cancellation (AEC) in the shorttime Fourier transform (STFT) domain (1–3) has a simpler system structure than the traditional frequency-domain adaptive filter (FDAF).
- An FDAF-type algorithm requires several discrete Fourier transforms (DFTs) and inverse DFTs (IDFTs).
- The STFT-domain processing requires only one DFT and one IDFT for the analysis and the synthesis.
- AEC in the STFT domain can be easily integrated with a residual echo suppressor (RES).
- The robust AEC (RAEC) provides continuous and stable filter updates during double talk without freezing the adaptive filter but was only used with the FDAF-type algorithms (4).
- In this work, we propose a novel algorithm that combines the simplicity of the STFT-domain AEC with robust adaptive crossband filters.

AEC in the STFT Domain



AEC in the STFT domain, where the STFT block represents windowing and transforming to the frequency domain.

- Symbols and definitions:
- -x[n]: loudspeaker (far-end) signal
- -h[n]: room impulse response
- -d[n]: echo signal
- -v[n]: near-end speech/noise
- -y[n]: near-end microphone signal
- -e[n]: error signal
- F: DFT matrix
- $-\mathbf{w}_A$: analysis window vector
- •: Hadamard (element-wise) product
- $-\mathbf{x}[m] = [x[mR], \ldots, x[mR+N-1]]^{\mathrm{T}}$: mth loudspeaker signal vector with frame size N and frame shift R
- $\mathbf{-}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{w}_A \circ \mathbf{x}) = [X_0, \dots, X_{N-1}]^{\mathrm{T}}$: STFT of a signal \mathbf{x}
- -H: STFT-domain impulse response matrix

• The STFT-domain echo signal is modeled as (1)

$$\underline{\mathbf{d}}[m] = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \mathbf{H}_i[m-1]\underline{\mathbf{x}}[m-i].$$
 (1)

- -M is the filter length in the STFT domain.
- -If H is diagonal, (1) reduces to the multiplicative transfer function approximation (2) but is not accurate due to the finite analysis window length.
- -The modeling accuracy can be improved by adding 2K cross-terms without significantly increasing the computational complexity (1).
- The adaptive filter matrix can be updated using

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_i[m] = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i[m-1] + \mathbf{G} \circ \Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i[m], \quad i = 0, \dots, M-1$$

-
$$\mathbf{G} = \sum_{k=-K}^{K} \mathbf{P}^{k}$$
 selects $2K + 1$ diagonal bands.

$$-\mathbf{P} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{1 \times N-1} & 1 \\ \mathbf{1}_{N-1} & \mathbf{0}_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
 is a permutation matrix.

- $|\mathbf{L}_{N-1 \times N-1} \mathbf{U}_{N-1 \times 1}|$ -G limits the number of crossband filters that are useful for the STFT-domain AEC (1,3).
- The least mean square (LMS) update matrix is (3)

$$\Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{i}^{\mathsf{LMS}}[m] = \mu \underline{\mathbf{e}}[m] \underline{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathsf{H}}[m-i].$$
(2)

- $-\underline{\mathbf{e}}[m] = \mathbf{y}[m] \underline{\mathbf{d}}[m]$ is the STFT-domain error signal. $-\mu > 0$ is a step-size.
- -Eq. (2) takes into account the cross-frequency components of $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ without relying on the DFT and the IDFT for the gradient constraint in the FDAF.

Robust Acoustic Echo Cancellation

- The RAEC uses error recovery nonlinearity (ERN), noise-robust step-size, and iterative adaptation (4).
- The ERN is given by (5)

$$\phi(E_k[m]) = \begin{cases} \frac{T_k[m]}{|E_k[m]|} E_k[m], & |E_k[m]| \ge T_k[m], \\ E_k[m], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- The ERN limits the error signal when its magnitude is above a certain threshold $T_k[m]$.

- The threshold is given by
$$T_k[m] = \sqrt{S_{ee,k}[m]}$$
, where

$$S_{ee,k}[m] = \beta S_{ee,k}[m-1] + (1-\beta)|E_k[m]|^2.$$

• The noise-robust step-size is given by (6)

$$\mu_k[m] = \mu \frac{S_{xx,k}[m]}{S_{xx,k}^2[m] + \gamma S_{ee,k}^2[m]} = \mu \frac{1}{S_{xx,k}[m] + \delta_k[m]}.$$
 (3)

- $-\delta_k[m] = \gamma S_{ee\,k}^2[m]/S_{xx,k}[m]$ is an adaptive regularization term, where γ is a tuning parameter.
- -The frequency-dependent regularization term scales down the step-size automagically when the near-end interference v[n] is large.





Contact Information: Beats Electronics, LLC 1601 Cloverfield Blvd. Suite 5000N Santa Monica, CA 90404, USA

Email: jason.wung@beatsbydre.com

Proposed Algorithm

3

• The normalized LMS (NLMS) update matrix is

$$(\Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{i}^{\mathsf{NLMS}}[m])_{k+1,l+1} = \mu \frac{E_{k}[m]X_{l}^{*}[m-i]}{S_{xx,l}[m] + \delta}.$$
 (4)

• Given (3) and (4), the robust step-size extends to a *cross-frequency dependent* regularization term $\delta_{k,l}[m] = \gamma S_{ee,k}^2[m]/S_{xx,l}[m]$ in the STFT domain. • The proposed update matrix is given by

$$(\Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{i}[m])_{k+1,l+1} = \mu \frac{\phi(E_{k}[m])X_{l}^{*}[m-i]}{S_{xx,l}[m] + \delta_{k,l}[m]}.$$
 (5)

Proposed RAEC algorithm in the STFT domain. Definitions

 $(\mathbf{F})_{k+1,n+1} \equiv e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn}, \quad k, n = 0, \dots, N-1$ $\phi(\underline{\mathbf{e}}[m]) \equiv [\phi(E_0[m]), \dots, \phi(E_{N-1}[m])]^{\mathrm{T}}$ Echo cancellation

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}[m] = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{w}_A \circ [x[mR], \dots, x[mR+N-1]]^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \underline{\mathbf{y}}[m] = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{w}_A \circ [y[mR], \dots, y[mR+N-1]]^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \underline{\mathbf{e}}[m] = \underline{\mathbf{y}}[m] - \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i[m-1]\underline{\mathbf{x}}[m-i]$$

Filter adaptation

$$\begin{split} \underline{\mathbf{s}}_{xx}[m] &= \beta \underline{\mathbf{s}}_{xx}[m-1] + (1-\beta)(\underline{\mathbf{x}}[m] \circ \underline{\mathbf{x}}^*[m]) \\ \underline{\mathbf{s}}_{ee}[m] &= \beta \underline{\mathbf{s}}_{ee}[m-1] + (1-\beta)(\underline{\mathbf{e}}[m] \circ \underline{\mathbf{e}}^*[m]) \\ \mathbf{I}[m])_{k+1,l+1} &= \frac{S_{xx,l}[m]}{S_{xx,l}^2[m] + \gamma S_{ee,k}^2[m]}, \quad k, l = 0, \dots, N-1 \\ \Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i[m] &= \mu \mathbf{M}[m] \circ \{\phi(\underline{\mathbf{e}}[m])\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{H}}[m-i]\}, \quad i = 0, \dots, M-1 \\ \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i[m] &= \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i[m-1] + \mathbf{G} \circ \Delta \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i[m], \quad i = 0, \dots, M-1 \end{split}$$

Experimental Evaluation

• Impulse response measurement:

- -Two Beats by Dr. Dre Pill speakers spaced 1 meter apart were used for the measurement.
- -The sound pressure level (SPL) was calibrated to 85 dB_C at 1 meter away with a -20 dBFS narrowband (500 Hz to 2 kHz) pink noise.
- -The microphone was placed closely to one of the speakers to measure the room impulse response h and the impulse response from the other speaker to the microphone.
- -The SPL of the echo signal was about 20 dB stronger than the near-end signal.
- Speech files and noise files from the ITU-T P.501 test signals were randomly selected.



References



-Noise was added to speech with a segmental signal-to-noise ratio (SSNR) of -5, 0, 5, and 10 dB. -The near-end speech plus noise and the far-end speech were constantly overlapped.

-100 utterances were generated with an averaged length of 40 seconds for each utterance. Perceptual Evaluation of Speech Quality (PESQ):



-Clean near-end speech as reference for PESQ -CB: crossband filters with the NLMS update (4) - RCB: robust adaptive crossband filters (5) - The number after CB represents K.

• True echo return loss enhancement (TERLE):

TERLE (dB)
$$\equiv 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{\sum_{n} |y[n] - v[n]|^2}{\sum_{n} |e[n] - v[n]|^2} \right).$$



TERLE plot comparing the STFT-domain AEC with and without the robustness constraint.

(1) Y. Avargel and I. Cohen, "System identification in the short-time Fourier transform domain with crossband filtering," IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, Lang. Process., vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 1305–1319, May 2007.

(2) —, "On multiplicative transfer function approximation in the short-time Fourier transform domain," IEEE Signal Process. Lett., vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 337–340, May 2007.

(3) —, "Adaptive system identification in the short-time Fourier transform domain using cross-multiplicative transfer function approximation," IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, Lang. *Process.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 162–173, Jan. 2008.

(4) T. S. Wada and B.-H. Juang, "Enhancement of residual echo for robust acoustic echo cancellation," IEEE Trans. Speech Audio Process., vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 175–189, Jan. 2012.

(5) J. Wung, T. S. Wada, B.-H. Juang, B. Lee, M. Trott, and R. W. Schafer, "A system approach to acoustic echo cancellation in robust hands-free teleconferencing," in Proc. IEEE WASPAA, pp. 101–104, Oct. 2011.

(6) T. S. Wada and B.-H. Juang, "Acoustic echo cancellation based on independent component analysis and integrated residual echo enhancement," in Proc. IEEE WASPAA, pp. 205–208, Oct. 2009.